

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,347	01/30/2004	Andrej S. Mitrovic	245045US6YA	5237
22850 7590 12/21/2006 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET		, WACHSMAN, HAL D		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
				V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/767,347	MITROVIC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hal D. Wachsman	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 O</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2006</u> .					
,	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected but abeyance. See it in abeyance. See it in a see it in a beyance. See it in it is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/767,347

Art Unit: 2857

5

1. Claim 31 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out

and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31, line 1, cites "the exposing step" which lacks antecedent basis. The examiner

asks the applicant to better claim the limitations cited above. While the examiner

understands the intentions of the applicant he feels confusion could be drawn from the

limitations cited above. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,347

Art Unit: 2857

3. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

Peterson et al. (US 2004/0014250 A1) in view of Meeks et al. (6,392,749).

As per claim 41, Peterson et al. disclose a method for identifying a component comprising: "emitting a radiation beam onto a first area of a component" (figure 1, part 108); "detecting a portion of the radiation beam that is refracted by the component" (figure 1, part 110); "generating a radiation level signal based at least on a strength of the detected portion of the radiation beam" (figure 1, part 114); and "determining an initial thickness of the component based on said radiation level signal" (figure 3, part 302). It appears though that Peterson et al. does not clearly disclose "identifying at least one of a manufacturer, a serial number, and a part type of the component based at least on the initial thickness of the component". However, Meeks et al. (col. 13 lines 2-11, 25-32, 49-67, col. 14 lines 1-6, col. 18 lines 54-57, 65-67, col. 19 lines 7-15, col. 22 lines 41-57, col. 23 lines 15-18, col. 25 lines 10-42, col. 29 lines 2-7, 14-17) teach this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Meeks et al. to the invention of Peterson et al. as specified above because Peterson et al. (page 3, claim 5) disclose solving for the thickness of a layer using the index of refraction and Meeks et al. (col. 19 lines 7-8, 9-12) teach that manufacturers make thin film disks with a known carbon overcoat thickness and the knowledge of absolute thickness and *the* complex indices of refraction of the carbon allow one to construct calibration curves and as a result one can determine the amount of carbon wear.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,347 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

4. Claims 1-40 are allowed subject to the appropriate correction of the 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) objection noted in paragraph 1 above.

- 5. The following reference is cited as being art of additional general interest: Mercado et al. (5,210,646) which disclose the correlation of the thickness of a component with a manufacturer.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10-6-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to rejected claim 41. On page 15 of the reply filed 10-6-06, the Applicant argues that "However, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Meeks</u> does not describe that <u>different manufacturers use different thicknesses of carbon overcoat</u>." However, with respect to the underlined above and claim 41, the Applicant is arguing an unclaimed merit or distinction.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,347

Art Unit: 2857

P.M..

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal D. Wachsman whose telephone number is 571-272-2225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2857

HW December 13, 2006